

Proposal 2026-1 A proposal to convene a constitutional convention for the purpose of drafting a general revision of the state constitution (Con-Con)

Shall a convention of elected delegates be convened in 2027 to draft a general revision of the State Constitution for presentation to the state's voters for their approval or rejection?

NCJW | MI Position: VOTE NO on Proposal 1. The Michigan constitution works, and we need to protect it.

We do not support rewriting Michigan's constitution, our state's foundational document. Michigan already has a proven process for constitutional change where voters can have a final say through the amendment process – by circulating petitions, gathering enough valid signatures to put the proposal on the ballot, and letting voters decide. Constitutional changes are best considered one issue at a time through Michigan's proven constitutional amendment process. For example, Michigan voters strongly supported ballot proposals in 2018 and 2022 to amend the constitution to eliminate gerrymandering, safeguard elections and expand access to the ballot. Another amendment was passed in 2022 to provide a state constitutional right to reproductive freedom.

We are concerned that there are groups and individuals who want to advance special interests and promote agendas that would eliminate our rights and protections without any accountability, transparency, or oversight by voters. We could lose citizen-initiated ballot measures, initiatives that would allow voters to amend the state constitution and state laws.

Among other rights we have, we could lose our reproductive rights and our voting rights. Anti-abortionist groups are going after reproductive rights. Anti-voter groups are going after our voting rights.

Voters rejected rewrites in 1978, 1994, and 2010. We hope voters will do so in 2026.

Reference: [Protect MI Constitution from Special Interests \(Proposal 1\)](#)

Proposal 2026-2 A proposed initiated law to prohibit campaign contributions from certain regulated utilities and government contractors and apply campaign finance laws and regulations to additional types of political communications. (Michiganders For Money Out Of Politics)

The proposal would:

- **Prohibit regulated electric and gas utilities, contractors with over \$250,000 annually in government contracts, and people and organizations with substantial connections to these utilities/contractors from making direct or indirect campaign contributions to those who run for or hold offices that impact them.**
- **Expand laws regulating spending on political communications, including those requiring disclosing donor information, to apply to communications clearly identifying candidates or ballot questions, even if they do not expressly advocate voting for/against them.**
- **Expressly apply the law requiring disclosure of who paid for political communications to internet political communications.**

Should this proposal be adopted?

NCJW | MI Position: VOTE YES on Proposal 2. We support getting money out of politics to prevent regulated utilities and corporations from using campaign contributions to influence officials who regulate or contract with them. Political contributions would be banned. Reference: [Michiganders for Money Out of Politics \(Proposal 2\)](#)

As you can see, there are important proposals and many candidate races on the ballot. It is really important to vote and have your voice heard.